Grading Gradients: Evaluating Evidence for Time-dependent Memory Reorganization in Experimental Animals

Katherine G. Akers¹ and Paul W. Frankland^{1–3}

¹Neurosciences and Mental Health, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada. ²Department of Physiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ³Institue of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

Abstract: In humans, hippocampal damage typically produces temporally graded retrograde amnesia, with relative sparing of remote memories compared to recent memories. This observation led to the idea that as memories age, they are reorganized in a time-dependent manner. Here, we evaluate evidence for time-dependent memory reorganization in animal models. We conclude that, although hippocampal lesions may not always produce temporal gradients under all conditions, studies using alternate experimental approaches consistently support the idea that memories reorganize over time—becoming less dependent on the hippocampus and more dependent on a cortical network. We further speculate on the processes that drive memory reorganization such as sleep, memory reactivation, synaptic plasticity, and neurogenesis.

Keywords: memory consolidation, hippocampus, cortex

Since at least the late 19th century, it has been recognized that "the dissolution of memory is inversely related to the recency of the event,"¹ or, in other words, that new memories are more vulnerable to brain insults than old memories. In the mid-20th century, the treatment of intractable seizures or psychosis via surgical resection of the brain unexpectedly revealed the neuroanatomical locus of this effect. In the most famous case,² Henry Molaison (patient H.M.) underwent resection of a large, bilateral portion of the medial temporal lobe including the hippocampus. After surgery, he exhibited partial retrograde amnesia, with memories formed shortly before the surgery forgotten but memories formed earlier in life remembered.³ Over the last several decades, numerous individuals who sustained damage to the hippocampus exhibited similar patterns of retrograde amnesia, with recent memories lost but remote memories left relatively intact.^{4–7}

These cases of temporally graded retrograde amnesia led to the idea that the hippocampus plays a time-limited role in the storage and retrieval of memory.^{8–11} In particular, two major theories of systems-level memory consolidation have emerged (Fig. 1A, B). The first theory—known as standard consolidation theory—proposes that the hippocampus is initially required for memory expression but that, over time, memory expression becomes dependent on a cortical network.^{12,13} The second theory—known as multiple trace theory—proposes that the brain regions that support memory expression differ depending on the type of memory; semantic or context-free memories depend less on the hippocampus and more on extrahippocampal structures as they age, whereas episodic or contextually-rich memories always depend on the hippocampus.^{14,15} Although there are some key differences between these two theories, both agree that memory can undergo time-dependent reorganization across brain regions.

While clinical cases of retrograde amnesia in humans form the basis of the idea that memory reorganizes in a time-dependent manner, they involve relatively few patients and there is no control over the extent and location of brain damage as well as the age and nature of memories that may be affected. Experimental studies using animals, therefore, have made a significant contribution to our understanding of how memory is reorganized over time. Here, we review evidence for time-dependent memory reorganization in experimental animals and speculate on the processes that might drive this reorganization.

Correspondence: Paul W. Frankland, Neurosciences and Mental Health, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada. Email: paul.frankland@sickkids.ca

Copyright in this article, its metadata, and any supplementary data is held by its author or authors. It is published under the Creative Commons Attribution By licence. For further information go to: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/.



Figure 1. Two theories of time-dependent memory reorganization. A) The standard consolidation theory proposes that, over time, memories become independent of the hippocampus. B) The multiple trace theory proposes that semantic or context-free memories become independent of the hippocampus over time, but that episodic or contextually-rich memories always depend on the hippocampus.

Temporal Gradients after Hippocampal Damage: Sometimes There, Sometimes Not

In one of the first studies to model temporally graded retrograde amnesia in animals, Winocur and colleagues¹⁶ made electrolytic lesions of the dorsal hippocampus in rats at varying time points after training in a socially-transmitted food preference task. They found that lesions made 1–2 days after training abolished memory for the learned food preference, but memory was spared when lesions were made 10 days after training. This temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia supports the idea that memories become independent of the hippocampus as they age. Subsequent studies employing hippocampal lesions or disruption of hippocampal function replicated and extended this basic effect to encompass not only socially-transmitted food preference,^{17–19} but also contextual fear conditioning,^{20–27} trace fear conditioning,²⁷ spatial discrimination,^{28–32} visual discrimination,³³ trace eyeblink conditioning,^{34–36} object discrimination,³⁷ inhibitory avoidance,^{38,39} and some variations of the water maze.^{25,40–43}

Not all studies, however, report evidence for a temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia. Instead, many found that hippocampal lesions or disruption of hippocampal function abolished both recent and remote memory.^{44–64} Although the conditions that lead to the presence—or absence—of a temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia following hippocampal damage are not yet well defined, a number of potential factors have been proposed.

Extent of training

An emerging theme from recent studies is that the extent of training may influence whether remote memories are spared following hippocampal damage. That is, extensive training protocols may produce memories that survive hippocampal damage, whereas minimal training protocols may result in memories that are vulnerable to hippocampal damage.

In one study, Winocur and colleagues⁶⁵ reared rats in a complex environment, or "village", for 3 months. After hippocampal lesions, rats retained accurate memory for the spatial layout of the environment. The authors speculated that the extensive pre-operative experience in the spatial environment allowed the formation of a schematic representation of the environment that did not require the hippocampus. Because the timing of the surgery relative to training was not manipulated in this study, whether memory for the spatial layout was initially dependent on the hippocampus and later dependent on extrahippocampal regions could not be determined. Rather, it may be the case that extensive experience or intense training enabled extrahippocampal regions to encode memories separately from the hippocampus.⁶⁶

Further insight into these issues comes from a study by Morris and colleagues,⁶⁷ who trained rats over several weeks to associate particular flavors

Grading gradients

of food to specific locations in a complex spatial environment. After this lengthy training period, rats were able to acquire novel flavor-place associations in just one trial, suggesting that extensive experience with the task led to the formation of an associative schema that allowed for the rapid encoding of new information. After hippocampal lesions, rats retained memory for the well-learned flavor-place associations, indicating that the schema was stored in extrahippocampal areas. As for the flavor-place associations acquired over a single trial, whether they survived hippocampal damage depended on their age. Associations that were 3 hours old were abolished by hippocampal lesions, but associations that were 48 hours old were left intact. Because this temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia was significantly steeper than that typically observed after hippocampal damage (e.g. several days to a few months), this suggests that the creation of an extrahippocampal schema increases the rate at which memory becomes independent of the hippocampus. Furthermore, when hippocampal lesions were made before training, rats failed to learn the flavor-place associations, indicating that extrahippocampal structures were not capable of independently encoding the spatial memories, even after extensive training.

Context-dependent versus context-free memory

In contrast to standard consolidation theory,^{12,13} which predicts that all remote memories should survive hippocampal damage, multiple trace theory^{14,15} predicts that whether remote memories survive hippocampal damage depends on whether they are context-dependent or context-free. Remote memories that remain entangled with the context in which they were encoded should remain permanently dependent on the hippocampal damage. In contrast, remote memories that have become unraveled from their original context should become independent of the hippocampus and, thus, would be spared after hippocampal damage.

Consistent with multiple trace theory, studies show that fear^{68–70} and food preference⁶⁸ memories, which are initially dependent on the particular context in which they were encoded, lose contextual specificity as they age. As these memories lose contextual specificity, they may become independent of the hippocampus. This might explain why remote fear^{20–26} food preference^{16,17,19,71} memories typically survive damage to hippocampus. In contrast, remote memories that remain tied to a particular context, such as water maze memory,^{44–46,50,52–57,60} typically do not survive hippocampal damage.

Several studies, however, do not support the predictions of multiple trace theory. For instance, remote memories that are relatively context-free do not always survive hippocampal damage. Ungraded retrograde amnesia has been found for contextual fear memory,^{48,51,59} which is expected to lose contextual specificity over time,^{68–70} and object^{47,49,60} and picture⁶¹ memories, which need not be associated with a particular context at any time point. Furthermore, attempts to reduce the spatial complexity or the need for spatial navigation in the water maze, which should conceivably allow memory to become independent of the hippocampus, failed to reveal a temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia after hippocampal lesions.^{55,56}

Another problem for multiple trace theory is that remote memories that remain tied to the context in which they are encoded are sometimes preserved after hippocampal damage. In a study by Wang et al⁷² mice were trained in a 3-day context fear discrimination protocol in which one context was always paired with shock and a second context was never paired with shock. Different from standard fear conditioning protocols, this context fear discrimination protocol produced precise, context-specific fear memory lasting several weeks (Fig. 2A-C). Although extensive hippocampal lesions made 1 day after training abolished discrimination between contexts, discrimination was spared when lesions were made 42 days after training (Fig. 2D). Thus, the hippocampus may not be permanently necessary for the expression of precise, context-specific memory.

Extent of lesion

Another factor that may influence whether remote memories are lost after hippocampal damage is the size of the lesion. Unlike standard consolidation theory,^{12,13} which predicts that all remote memories will survive hippocampal damage regardless of lesion size, multiple trace theory^{14,15} predicts that context-dependent memories may or may not survive hippocampal damage depending on the size of the lesion. Specifically, multiple trace theory predicts that as context-dependent memories age, they become supported by a greater number



Figure 2. Precise, context-specific remote memories do not require the hippocampus. **A**) In the context fear discrimination protocol, mice were repeatedly exposed to context A, in which shock always occurred, and context B, in which shock never occurred. **B**) In the standard fear conditioning protocol, mice were shocked during a single exposure to context A. **C**) In contrast to the standard fear conditioning protocol, which produced fear memories that lost context-specificity over time (i.e. reduced discrimination index), the context fear discrimination protocol produced durable, context-specific fear memories that persisted for at least 42 days. **D**) Extensive lesions of the hippocampus after training in the context fear discrimination protocol abolished recent context-specific fear memory, but spared remote context-specific fear memory. Adapted from Wang et al.⁷²

and wider distribution of hippocampal-cortical traces. Because the hippocampal-cortical traces supporting recent memory are fewer in number and sparser in distribution compared to remote memory, partial hippocampal lesions are more likely to disrupt recent compared to remote memory and thus result in a temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia. Extensive hippocampal lesions, on the other hand, are likely to disrupt both recent and remote memory and thus result in ungraded retrograde amnesia. To some degree, experimental findings support the prediction of multiple trace theory, as many studies reporting temporal gradients employed partial hippocampal lesions^{16,20–24,30,31,34,35,38,39} and many studies reporting ungraded amnesia employed extensive hip-pocampal lesions.^{44,47,49,54,58,60,61} However, it is sometimes found that remote context-dependent memory is spared after extensive lesions, 17-19,72 which is problematic for multiple trace theory. Conversely, it is sometimes found that remote context-dependent memory is disrupted by partial lesions, ^{45,46,48,51,53,55,57,62} which is problematic for multiple trace theory as well as standard consolidation theory.

Memory involving a taste or odor component

A fourth factor that may determine the fate of remote memory following hippocampal damage is whether it involves a significant taste or odor component. One type of remote memory that is consistently found to survive hippocampal damage is socially-transmitted food preference memory.^{16,17,71,73} Furthermore, it has been argued⁵¹ that when remote contextual fear memory is found to survive hippocampal damage, it is often in studies that incorporated a prominent odor (e.g. acetic acid, ethanol) into the training context.^{20–22,24} Thus, it may be possible that memory involving taste or odor becomes completely independent of the hippocampus over time, whereas other types of memory tend to engage the hippocampus for as long as they exist.

Alternate Approaches: Consistent Evident for Time-Dependent Memory Reorganization

Although hippocampal damage or disruption of hippocampal function does not always result in a

temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia, studies utilizing alternate experimental approaches offer nearly unanimous support for the idea that memories reorganize over time.

One such approach is to map region-wide changes in brain activation corresponding to the expression of recent and remote memories. In the first study of this kind, performed by Bontempi and colleagues,⁷⁴ mice were trained to find food rewards in an eight-arm radial maze and given a retention test either 5 or 25 days later. Retrieval of memory for the food locations 5 days after training corresponded with increased metabolic activity in the hippocampus. In contrast, retrieval of memory 25 days after training corresponded with increased metabolic activity in the anterior cingulate, medial prefrontal, and temporal cortices. This suggests that, over time, initially-hippocampal dependent memory becomes dependent on a widespread cortical network. Studies examining changes in immediate early gene (e.g. zif268, c-fos) expression after recall of recent and remote memories also found a time-dependent shift from primarily hippocampal activation to primarily cortical activation.^{19,30,57,75,76} Furthermore, these studies indicate that different types of memories may become dependent on partially dissociable cortical networks. Recall of remote spatial discrimination,³⁰ contextual fear,⁷⁵ and water maze⁵⁷ memory activated the anterior cingulate, medial prefrontal, and temporal cortices, but recall of remote sociallytransmitted food preference memory^{19,76} activated orbitofrontal and piriform cortices.

A strength of these brain-mapping studies is that they allow for the observation of whether the hippocampus is engaged during retrieval of remote memory, which is not possible in hippocampal lesion studies. From the studies performed so far, it appears that whether the hippocampus is activated by recall of remote memory depends on the type of memory being recalled. Specifically, retrieval of remote socially-transmitted food preference memory did not activate the hippocampus.^{19,76} In contrast, the hippocampus was activated by retrieval of remote water maze memory.^{57,77} Notably, however, the pattern of activation across hippocampal subregions was more sparse and of lesser magnitude during retrieval of remote compared to recent water maze memory,⁷⁷ suggesting that time-dependent reorganization of this type of memory can occur within the hippocampus itself. For spatial discrimination^{30,74} and

Journal of Experimental Neuroscience 2009:2

contextual fear⁷⁵ memory, levels of hippocampal activation were lower than control conditions, suggesting that for these types of memories, the hippocampus is not just passively disengaged during recall of remote memory but is actively inhibited by other brain regions.

Despite its strengths, there are some potential disadvantages of the brain-mapping approach. One is that the choice of an appropriate control condition is difficult. For some types of tasks, such as contextual fear conditioning, there is relative consensus on an appropriate control group (e.g. no-shock or immediate-shock). The situation, however, is not as clear for tasks such as the water maze, for which highly conservative control groups (e.g. swim or visible platform) could lead to a high false-negative rate, but less conservative control groups (e.g. home cage) could produce a high false-positive rate. A second issue is that immediate early gene expression is only an indirect marker of brain activation. Although the expression of these genes is tightly correlated with neuronal activity,⁷⁸ differences in endogenous activity patterns across brain regions may limit their utility in brain-wide studies. A third issue is that reorganization may not necessarily result in an overall increase in activity in a given region. For example, there was no overall increase in activation of the parietal cortex after recall of remote, compared to recent, spatial discrimination memory, but a closer examination showed that activation shifted from deep to more superficial cortical layers over time.³⁰ This example highlights the complexity of defining reorganization and raises the question of what level of anatomical resolution is appropriate, or even feasible, for this type of analysis.

Another alternate approach to studying timedependent reorganization of memory is to target specific extrahippocampal regions that are implicated in the recall of remote memory. If certain extrahippocampal regions gradually assume a critical role in memory, then an increase in taskrelevant neural firing or synaptic transmission should be observable within those regions as memories age. Takehara-Nishiuchi and McNaughton⁷⁹ trained rats in a trace eyeblink conditioning protocol and recorded neural firing in the medial prefrontal cortex. Across several weeks of toneshock pairings, neural firing became selective for the learned associations, evidenced by increased firing during the delay between the tone and the evelid shock. This suggests that as trace eyeblink

memories age, they gradually engage the medial prefrontal cortex. In another study, Hugues and Garcia⁸⁰ trained rats in a contextual fear extinction protocol and recorded field potentials at two different inputs to the medial prefrontal cortex. As the extinction memory aged, there was a depotentiation of field potentials at ventral hippocampalmedial prefrontal synapses and a potentiation of field potentials at mediodorsal thalamic-medial prefrontal synapses. This suggests that, over time, processing of the extinction memory was relinquished by hippocampal inputs and overtaken by other inputs to the medial prefrontal cortex.

Likewise, if certain extrahippocampal regions play an increasingly critical role in memory over time, then damage to those regions should disrupt remote memory to a greater extent than recent memory. Indeed, inactivation of the anterior cingulate cortex blocked expression of remote, but not recent, memory for contextual fear,⁷⁵ spatial discrimination,³⁰ water maze,⁵⁷ and conditioned taste aversion.⁸¹ With one exception,⁸² inactivation or lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex resulted in greater disruption of remote memory than recent memory for spatial discrimination,³⁰ trace eyeblink conditioning,³⁴ and contextual and trace fear conditioning.²⁷ Finally, inactivation of the parietal cortex blocked the expression of remote, but not recent, inhibitory avoidance memory.^{38,39} These studies provide direct evidence that certain cortical areas are necessary for the recall of remote, but not recent, memory, which provides support for the idea that memories gradually come to rely on cortical regions for storage and retrieval.

Although the selective involvement of cortical areas in the expression of remote memory may reflect time-dependent memory reorganization, an alternative interpretation is that cortical areas are situationally recruited for the effortful retrieval of memories that are weak due to their age.⁸³ As memories tend to become weaker with time, distinguishing between these two interpretations requires memory strength to be uncoupled from memory age. In a study that addressed this issue, Ding et al.⁸¹ used a taste aversion protocol in which mice were treated with a high or low dose of lithium chloride after drinking saccharin-flavored water to create strong or weak taste aversion memory, respectively. They found that inactivation of the anterior cingulate cortex disrupted retrieval of remote taste aversion memories regardless of their strength. This is contrary to the prediction of the effortful retrieval hypothesis—that cortical damage should disrupt retrieval of weak memories regardless of their age.

Mechanisms of Time-Dependent Memory Reorganization

It is believed that the time-dependent reorganization of memory is not passive, but rather actively driven by memory reactivation.^{9,11} Successive reactivations of memory could lead to the reinstatement of memory-relevant patterns of hippocampal and cortical activity and the stabilization of cortical traces, thereby propelling memories to become independent of the hippocampus.

One situation in which memory reactivation may promote memory reorganization is during sleep. Evidence that memory is reactivated during sleep comes from studies showing that the sequence in which neurons fired when rats explored a spatial environment was replayed during subsequent slowwave sleep.^{84,85} Because replay of waking experience was coordinated between the hippocampus and the cortex,^{86–88} this suggests a possible dialogue between the two brain areas during slow-wave sleep that contributes to memory reorganization. While these studies indicate that slow-wave sleep is important for memory reorganization, other studies point toward an important role for rapid eve movement (REM) sleep. In a series of studies, Ribeiro et al. examined the expression of *zif268*, an immediate early gene involved in synaptic plasticity and memory stabilization.^{89,90} during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. They found that after exploration of a novel environment^{91,92} or induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) in the dentate gyrus,⁹³ there was increased *zif268* expression in hippocampal and cortical regions during subsequent REM sleep. This increased cortical expression of *zif268* was blocked by inactivation of the hippocampus, suggesting that the hippocampus is responsible for propagating gene expression throughout the cortex during REM sleep. These findings have led to the theory that slow-wave and REM sleep play distinct roles in memory reorganization, with memory reactivation occurring during slow-wave sleep and hippocampus-driven stabilization of cortical memory traces during REM sleep.⁹⁴

Another situation in which memory reactivation may promote memory reorganization is during the explicit retrieval of memories. For instance,

observations that extensive training can produce contextually-rich memories that survive hippocampal lesions^{65,67,72} might reflect reorganization driven by the repeated retrieval of task-relevant information inherent in extensive training protocols. Furthermore, even after memories have become independent of the hippocampus, retrieval of memory may initiate additional rounds of reorganization. Debiec and colleagues²³ found that remote contextual fear memory, which was independent of the hippocampus, briefly returned to a hippocampal-dependent state after rats were reminded of the training context. This re-engagement of the hippocampus during memory retrieval may serve to further refine or to incorporate new information into existing cortical memory traces.

Regardless of the exact situations during which time-dependent memory reorganization occurs. it most certainly involves plasticity both within and between hippocampal and cortical regions. Studies utilizing transgenic lines of mice have implicated several plasticity-related molecules in the establishment of remote memory, including α -calcium/ calmodulin kinase II,^{75,95,96} p21-activated kinase,⁹⁷ type 1 adenylyl cyclase,⁹⁸ L-type voltage-gated calcium channels,⁹⁹ integrin $\beta 2$,¹⁰⁰ and steryl-Oacyl transferase 1.¹⁰⁰ For example, mice that were heterozygous for a null mutation of α -calcium/ calmodulin kinase II (aCamKII) showed normal acquisition and retention of contextual fear and water maze memory over short delays (1-3 days)but pronounced forgetting at longer retention delays (10–50 days).⁷⁵ Because this mutation causes a deficit in cortical LTP, it may be the case that α CamKII-mediated synaptic plasticity in the cortex is essential for the establishment of remote memory. Although some studies indicate that the maintenance of remote memory requires ongoing synaptic plasticity for up to several months after training.^{101,102} others suggest a time-limited role for synaptic plasticity.^{25,26,103} In particular, survival of remote memories required activation of N-methyl-Daspartate (NMDA) receptors in the hippocampus²⁵ and medial prefrontal cortex¹⁰³ only during the first 2 weeks after training but not thereafter. Likewise, survival of remote memories required normal α CamKII activity in the forebrain—including the hippocampus and cortex-only during the first week after training.²⁶ These studies suggest that hippocampal and cortical synaptic plasticity is critical for the transition of memories from a

temporary, hippocampal-dependent state to a stable, cortex-dependent state.

Summary and Future Directions

A relatively conservative reading of the studies employing inactivation or damage of the hippocampus indicates that temporal gradients of retrograde amnesia exist. Certain conditions, however, may prolong the period during which memories depend on the hippocampus, resulting in ungraded amnesia at the time points at which memory is probed. The inconsistency with which temporal gradients are found suggests the interplay of a number of influential factors (e.g. training intensity, extent of lesion, qualitative aspects of the target memory) that, at the present moment, are poorly understood. Thus, more systematic examination of how these factors determine the temporal pattern of retrograde amnesia after hippocampal damage is needed.

In contrast to studies employing hippocampal damage, studies using alternate approaches have provided nearly unanimous support for the idea that as memories age, they become less dependent on the hippocampus and more dependent on a broad network of cortical regions. Our current picture of how remote memories are organized, however, is still incomplete. For example, the analysis of six cortical regions in the Frankland et al. brain-mapping study⁷⁵ represents only 2%–3% of total forebrain volume. Brain-wide examinations are necessary to comprehensively map the networks supporting remote memories and to understand how different types of remote memories may be differentially organized.

Although evidence is accumulating that memory reactivation drives memory reorganization either in online (e.g. memory retrieval) or offline (e.g. sleep) situations, this evidence remains correlative. To provide a causal link between memory reactivation and reorganization, future studies would need to determine, for example, whether blocking memory replay during sleep prevents reorganization or whether repeated reminders of the learning event accelerates reorganization.

Finally, some possibilities—such as the role of neurogenesis in time-dependent memory reorganization—are just beginning to be explored. Tsien and colleagues¹⁰⁴ found that transgenic mice with deficient hippocampal neurogenesis exhibited longer retention of contextual fear memory. This led them to hypothesize that the addition of new neurons into the hippocampal network via neurogenesis may lead to instability and eventual erasure of the hippocampal memory trace, thereby making room for the acquisition and temporary storage of new memories. In line with this hypothesis, Inokuchi and colleagues¹⁰⁵ found that irradiation-induced reduction of hippocampal neurogenesis prolonged the hippocampal-dependency of contextual fear memories, suggesting that hippocampal neurogenesis may expedite and enhance the efficiency of time-dependent memory reorganization.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Ribot T. Diseases of memory. Oxford: Appleton-Century-Crofts. 1882.
- 2. Squire LR. The legacy of patient HM for neuroscience. *Neuron*. 2009;61:6–9.
- Scoville WB, Milner B. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1957;20:11–21.
- Salmon DP, Lasker BR, Butters N, Beatty WW. Remote memory in a patient with circumscribed amnesia. *Brain Cogn.* 1988;7:201–11.
- Beatty WW, Salmon DP, Bernstein N, Butters N. Remote memory in a patient with amnesia due to hypoxia. *Psychol Med.* 1987;17: 657–65.
- Squire LR, Slater PC, Chace PM. Retrograde amnesia: temporal gradient in very long term memory following electroconvulsive therapy. *Science*. 1975;187:77–9.
- Squire LR, Alvarez P. Retrograde amnesia and memory consolidation: a neurobiological perspective. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 1995;5:169–77.
- Marr D. Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. *Philos Trans R Soc* Lond B Biol Sci. 1971;262:23–81.
- McClelland JL, McNaughton BL, O'Reilly RC. Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol Rev.* 1995;102:419–57.
- Teyler TJ, DiScenna P. The hippocampal memory indexing theory. Behav Neurosci. 1986;100:147–54.
- Alvarez P, Squire LR. Memory consolidation and the medial temporal lobe: a simple network model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1994; 91:7041–5.
- 12. Squire LR. Memory and the hippocampus: a synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys, and humans. *Psychol Rev.* 1992;99:195–231.
- Squire LR, Stark CE, Clark RE. The medial temporal lobe. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2004;27:279–306.
- Nadel L, Moscovitch M. Memory consolidation, retrograde amnesia and the hippocampal complex. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 1997;7:217–27.
- Rosenbaum RS, Winocur G, Moscovitch M. New views on old memories: re-evaluating the role of the hippocampal complex. *Behav Brain Res.* 2001;127:183–97.
- Winocur G. Anterograde and retrograde amnesia in rats with dorsal hippocampal or dorsomedial thalamic lesions. *Behav Brain Res.* 1990;38:145–54.
- Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Zola SM, Squire LR. Anterograde amnesia and temporally graded retrograde amnesia for a nonspatial memory task after lesions of hippocampus and subiculum. *J Neurosci*. 2002;22:4663–9.

- Winocur G, McDonald RM, Moscovitch M. Anterograde and retrograde amnesia in rats with large hippocampal lesions. *Hippocampus*. 2001;11:18–26.
- Ross RS, Eichenbaum H. Dynamics of hippocampal and cortical activation during consolidation of a nonspatial memory. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26:4852–9.
- Kim JJ, Fanselow MS. Modality-specific retrograde amnesia of fear. Science. 1992;256:675–77.
- 21. Anagnostaras SG, Maren S, Fanselow MS. Temporally graded retrograde amnesia of contextual fear after hippocampal damage in rats: within-subjects examination. *J Neurosci*. 1999;9:1106–14.
- 22. Maren S, Aharonov G, Fanselow MS. Neurotoxic lesions of the dorsal hippocampus and Pavlovian fear conditioning in rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 1997;88:261–74.
- Debiec J, LeDoux JE, Nader K. Cellular and systems reconsolidation in the hippocampus. *Neuron*. 2002;36:527–38.
- Ward MT, Oler JA, Markus EJ. Hippocampal dysfunction during aging I: deficits in memory consolidation. *Neurobiol Aging*. 1999;20: 363–72.
- Shimizu E, Tang YP, Rampon C, Tsien JZ. NMDA receptor-dependent synaptic reinforcement as a crucial process for memory consolidation. *Science*. 2000;290:1170–4.
- Wang H, Shimizu E, Tang YP, Cho M, Kyin M, et al. Inducible protein knockout reveals temporal requirement of CaMKII reactivation for memory consolidation in the brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100: 4287–92.
- Quinn JJ, Ma QD, Tinsley MR, Koch C, Fanselow MS. Inverse temporal contributions of the dorsal hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex to the expression of long-term fear memories. *Learn Mem.* 2008;15:368–72.
- Cho YH, Beracochea D, Jaffard R. Extended temporal gradient for the retrograde and anterograde amnesia produced by ibotenate entorhinal cortex lesions in mice. *J Neurosci.* 1993;13:1759–66.
- Cho YH, Kesner RP. Involvement of entorhinal cortex or parietal cortex in long-term spatial discrimination memory in rats: retrograde amnesia. *Behav Neurosci.* 1996;110:436–42.
- Maviel T, Durkin TP, Menzaghi F, Bontempi B. Sites of neocortical reorganization critical for remote spatial memory. *Science*. 2004; 305:96–9.
- Ramos JM. Retrograde amnesia for spatial information: a dissociation between intra and extramaze cues following hippocampus lesions in rats. *Eur J Neurosci.* 1998;10:3295–301.
- Laurent-Demir C, Jaffard R. Temporally graded retrograde amnesia for spatial information resulting from afterdischarges induced by electrical stimulation of the dorsal hippocampus in mice. *Psychobiol.* 1997;25: 133–40.
- Wiig KA, Cooper LN, Bear MF. Temporally graded retrograde amnesia following separate and combined lesions of the perirhinal cortex and fornix in the rat. *Learn Mem.* 1996;3:313–25.
- Takehara K, Kawahara S, Kirino Y. Time-dependent reorganization of the brain components underlying memory retention in trace eyeblink conditioning. *J Neurosci*. 2003;23: 9897–905.
- Takehara K, Kawahara S, Takatsuki K, Kirino Y. Time-limited role of the hippocampus in the memory for trace eyeblink conditioning in mice. *Brain Res.* 2002;951:183–90.
- Kim JJ, Clark RE, Thompson RF. Hippocampectomy impairs the memory of recently, but not remotely, acquired trace eyeblink conditioned responses. *Behav Neurosci.* 1995;109:195–203.
- 37. Zola-Morgan SM, Squire LR. The primate hippocampal formation: evidence for a time-limited role in memory storage. *Science*.1990;250: 288–90.
- Izquierdo I, Quillfeldt JA, Zanatta MS, Quevedo J, Schaeffer E, et al. Sequential role of hippocampus and amygdala, entorhinal cortex and parietal cortex in formation and retrieval of memory for inhibitory avoidance in rats. *Eur J Neurosci.* 1997;9:786–93.
- Quillfeldt JA, Zanatta MS, Schmitz PK, Quevedo J, Schaeffer E, et al. Different brain areas are involved in memory expression at different times from training. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 1996;66:97–101.

- Glenn MJ, Nesbitt C, Mumby DG. Perirhinal cortex lesions produce variable patterns of retrograde amnesia in rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2003;141:183–93.
- Remondes M, Schuman EM. Role for a cortical input to hippocampal area CA1 in the consolidation of a long-term memory. *Nature*. 2004;431:699–703.
- Kubie JL, Sutherland RJ, Muller RU. Hippocampal lesions produce a temporally graded retrograde amnesia on a dry version of the Morris swimming task. *Psychobiol*. 1999;27:313–30.
- Yasuda M, Mayford MR. CaMKII activation in the entorhinal cortex disrupts previously encoded spatial memory. *Neuron*. 2006;50: 309–18.
- Mumby DG, Astur RS, Weisend MP, Sutherland RJ. Retrograde amnesia and selective damage to the hippocampal formation: memory for places and object discriminations. *Behav Brain Res.* 1999; 106:97–107.
- Bolhuis JJ, Stewart CA, Forrest EM. Retrograde amnesia and memory reactivation in rats with ibotenate lesions to the hippocampus or subiculum. *Q J Exp Psychol B*. 1994;47:129–50.
- Martin SJ, de Hoz L, Morris RG. Retrograde amnesia: neither partial nor complete hippocampal lesions in rats result in preferential sparing of remote spatial memory, even after reminding. *Neuropsychologia*. 2005;43:609–24.
- Gaskin S, Tremblay A, Mumby DG. Retrograde and anterograde object recognition in rats with hippocampal lesions. *Hippocampus*. 2003;13:962–9.
- Lehmann H, Lacanilao S, Sutherland RJ. Complete or partial hippocampal damage produces equivalent retrograde amnesia for remote contextual fear memories. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2007;25:1278–86.
- Lehmann H, Lecluse V, Houle A, Mumby DG. Retrograde amnesia following hippocampal lesions in the shock-probe conditioning test. *Hippocampus*. 2006;16:379–87.
- Mumby DG, Glenn MJ. Anterograde and retrograde memory for object discriminations and places in rats with perirhinal cortex lesions. *Behav Brain Res.* 2000;114:119–34.
- Sutherland RJ, O'Brien J, Lehmann H. Absence of systems consolidation of fear memories after dorsal, ventral, or complete hippocampal damage. *Hippocampus*. 2008;18:710–18.
- Riedel G, Micheau J, Lam AG, Roloff EL, Martin SJ, et al. Reversible neural inactivation reveals hippocampal participation in several memory processes. *Nat Neurosci.* 1999;2:898–905.
- Broadbent NJ, Squire LR, Clark RE. Reversible hippocampal lesions disrupt water maze performance during both recent and remote memory tests. *Learn Mem.* 2006;13:187–91.
- Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Squire LR. Impaired remote spatial memory after hippocampal lesions despite extensive training beginning early in life. *Hippocampus*. 2005;15:340–6.
- 55. Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Squire LR. Hippocampus and remote spatial memory in rats. *Hippocampus*. 2005;15:260–72.
- Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Squire LR. The hippocampus and spatial memory: findings with a novel modification of the water maze. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27:6647–54.
- Teixeira CM, Pomedli SR, Maei HR, Kee N, Frankland PW. Involvement of the anterior cingulate cortex in the expression of remote spatial memory. *J Neurosci.* 2006;26:7555–64.
- Winocur G, Moscovitch M, Caruana DA, Binns MA. Retrograde amnesia in rats with lesions to the hippocampus on a test of spatial memory. *Neuropsychologia*. 2005;43:1580–90.
- Burwell RD, Bucci DJ, Sanborn MR, Jutras MJ. Perirhinal and postrhinal contributions to remote memory for context. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24:11023–28.
- Sutherland RJ, Weisend MP, Mumby D, Astur RS, Hanlon FM, et al. Retrograde amnesia after hippocampal damage: recent vs. remote memories in two tasks. *Hippocampus*. 2001;11:27–42.
- Epp J, Keith JR, Spanswick SC, Stone JC, Prusky GT, et al. Retrograde amnesia for visual memories after hippocampal damage in rats. *Learn Mem.* 2008;15:214–21.

- Haijima A, Ichitani Y. Anterograde and retrograde amnesia of place discrimination in retrosplenial cortex and hippocampal lesioned rats. *Learn Mem.* 2008;15:477–82.
- Thornton JA, Rothblat LA, Murray EA. Rhinal cortex removal produces amnesia for preoperatively learned discrimination problems but fails to disrupt postoperative acquisition and retention in rhesus monkeys. *J Neurosci.* 1997;17:8536–49.
- Gaffan D. Additive effects of forgetting and fornix transfection in the temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia. *Neuropsychologia*. 1993;31: 1055–66.
- Winocur G, Moscovitch M, Fogel S, Rosenbaum RS, Sekeres M. Preserved spatial memory after hippocampal lesions: effects of extensive experience in a complex environment. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005; 8:273–5.
- Lehmann H, Sparks FT, Spanswick SC, Hadikin C, McDonald RJ, et al. (In Press) Making context memories independent of the hippocampus.
- 67. Tse D, Langston RF, Kakeyama M, Bethus I, Spooner PA, et al. Schemas and memory consolidation. *Science*. 2007;316:76–82.
- Winocur G, Moscovitch M, Sekeres M. Memory consolidation or transformation: context manipulation and hippocampal representations of memory. *Nat Neurosci*. 2007;10:555–7.
- Wiltgen BJ, Silva AJ. Memory for context becomes less specific with time. *Learn Mem.* 2007;14:313–7.
- Biedenkapp JC, Rudy JW. Context preexposure prevents forgetting of a contextual fear memory: implication for regional changes in brain activation patterns associated with recent and remote memory tests. *Learn Mem.* 2007;14:200–3.
- Winocur G, McDonald R, Moscovitch M. Anterograde and retrograde amnesia in rats with large hippocampal lesions. *Hippocampus*. 2001;11:18–26.
- Wang SH, Teixeira CM, Wheeler AL, Frankland PW. The precision of remote context memories does not require the hippocampus. *Nature Neurosci.* In press. 2009.
- Ross R, Eichenbaum H. Dynamics of hippocampal and cortical activation during consolidation of a nonspatial memory. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26:4852–9.
- Bontempi B, Laurent-Demir C, Destrade C, Jaffard R. Time-dependent reorganization of brain circuitry underlying long-term memory storage. *Nature*. 1999;400:671–5.
- Frankland PW, Bontempi B, Talton LE, Kaczmarek L, Silva AJ. The involvement of the anterior cingulate cortex in remote contextual fear memory. *Science*. 2004;304:881–3.
- Smith CA, Countryman RA, Sahuque LL, Colombo PJ. Time-courses of Fos expression in rat hippocampus and neocortex following acquisition and recall of a socially transmitted food preference. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 2007;88:65–74.
- Gusev PA, Cui C, Alkon DL, Gubin AN. Topography of Arc/Arg3.1 mRNA expression in the dorsal and ventral hippocampus induced by recent and remote spatial memory recall: dissociation of CA3 and CA1 activation. J Neurosci. 2005;25:9384–97.
- Kaczmarek L, Robertson HA. Handbook of chemical neuroanatomy. Amsterdam: *Elsevier*. 2002.
- Takehara-Nishiuchi K, McNaughton BL. Spontaneous changes of neocortical code for associative memory during consolidation. *Science*. 2008;322:960–3.
- Hugues S, Garcia R. Reorganization of learning-associated prefrontal synaptic plasticity between the recall of recent and remote fear extinction memory. *Learn Mem.* 2007;14:520–4.
- Ding HK, Teixeira CM, Frankland PW. Inactivation of the anterior cingulate cortex blocks expression of remote, but not recent, conditioned taste aversion memory. *Learn Mem.* 2008;15:290–3.
- Blum S, Hebert AE, Dash PK. A role for the prefrontal cortex in recall of recent and remote memories. *Neuroreport*. 2006;17:341–4.
- Rudy JW, Biedenkapp JC, O'Reilly RC. Prefrontal cortex and the organization of recent and remote memories: an alternative view. *Learn Mem.* 2005;12:445–6.

- Louie K, Wilson MA. Temporally structured replay of awake hippocampal ensemble activity during rapid eye movement sleep. *Neuron.* 2001;29:145–56.
- Lee AK, Wilson MA. Memory of sequential experience in the hippocampus during slow wave sleep. *Neuron*. 2002;36:1183–94.
- Ji D, Wilson MA. Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during sleep. *Nat Neurosci*. 2007;10:100–7.
- Qin YL, McNaughton BL, Skaggs WE, Barnes CA. Memory reprocessing in corticocortical and hippocampocortical neuronal ensembles. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1997;352:1525–33.
- Siapas AG, Wilson MA. Coordinated interactions between hippocampal ripples and cortical spindles during slow-wave sleep. *Neuron*. 1998;21:1123–8.
- Jones MW, Errington ML, French PJ, Fine A, Bliss TV, et al. A requirement for the immediate early gene *Zif268* in the expression of late LTP and long-term memories. *Nat Neurosci.* 2001;4:289–96.
- Lee JL, Everitt BJ, Thomas KL. Independent cellular processes for hippocampal memory consolidation and reconsolidation. *Science*. 2004;304:839–43.
- Ribeiro S, Goyal V, Mello CV, Pavlides C. Brain gene expression during REM sleep depends on prior waking experience. *Learn Mem.* 1999;6:500–8.
- Ribeiro S, Shi X, Engelhard M, Zhou Y, Zhang H, et al. Novel Experience Induces Persistent Sleep-Dependent Plasticity in the Cortex but not in the Hippocampus. *Front Neurosci.* 2007;1:43–55.
- Ribeiro S, Mello CV, Velho T, Gardner TJ, Jarvis ED, et al. Induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation during waking leads to increased extrahippocampal *zif-268* expression during ensuing rapid-eye-movement sleep. *J Neurosci*. 2002;22:10914–23.
- Ribeiro S, Nicolelis MA. Reverberation, storage, and postsynaptic propagation of memories during sleep. *Learn Mem.* 2004;11:686–96.
- Frankland PW, O'Brien C, Ohno M, Kirkwood A, Silva AJ. Alpha-CaMKII-dependent plasticity in the cortex is required for permanent memory. *Nature*. 2001;411:309–13.

- Tanaka D, Nakada K, Takao K, Ogasawara E, Kasahara A, et al. Normal mitochondrial respiratory function is essential for spatial remote memory in mice. *Mol Brain*. 2008;1:21.
- Hayashi ML, Choi SY, Rao BS, Jung HY, Lee HK, et al. Altered cortical synaptic morphology and impaired memory consolidation in forebrain-specific dominant-negative PAK transgenic mice. *Neuron*. 2004;42:773–87.
- Shan Q, Chan GC, Storm DR. Type 1 adenylyl cyclase is essential for maintenance of remote contextual fear memory. J Neurosci. 2008;28:12864–7.
- 99. White JA, McKinney BC, John MC, Powers PA, Kamp TJ, et al. Conditional forebrain deletion of the L-type calcium channel Ca V 1.2 disrupts remote spatial memories in mice. *Learn Mem.* 2008; 15:1–5.
- 100. Matynia A, Anagnostaras SG, Wiltgen BJ, Lacuesta M, Fanselow MS, et al. A high through-put reverse genetic screen identifies two genes involved in remote memory in mice. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3:e2121.
- Shema R, Sacktor TC, Dudai Y. Rapid erasure of long-term memory associations in the cortex by an inhibitor of PKM zeta. *Science*. 2007;317:951–3.
- 102. Cui Z, Wang H, Tan Y, Zaia KA, Zhang S, et al. Inducible and reversible NR1 knockout reveals crucial role of the NMDA receptor in preserving remote memories in the brain. *Neuron*. 2004;41:781–93.
- 103. Takehara-Nishiuchi K, Nakao K, Kawahara S, Matsuki N, Kirino Y. Systems consolidation requires postlearning activation of NMDA receptors in the medial prefrontal cortex in trace eyeblink conditioning. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26:5049–58.
- 104. Feng R, Rampon C, Tang YP, Shrom D, Jin J, et al. Deficient neurogenesis in forebrain-specific presenilin-1 knockout mice is associated with reduced clearance of hippocampal memory traces. *Neuron*. 2001;32:911–26.
- 105. Kitamura T, Saitoh Y, Takashima N, Murayama A, Nibori Y, et al. Adult neurogenesis is involved in the systems consolidation of memory. *Society for Neuroscience Abstracts*. 2008.